INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP MORPHOSYNTAX OF CAUCASIAN LANGUAGES Paris, December 11 - 14 2006

Finiteness in Akhvakh, and the notion of participle

Denis Creissels

Université Lumière (Lyon 2) e-mail: denis.creissels@univ-lyon2.fr

ABSTRACT. In Akhvakh, dependent clauses may involve subordination mechanisms of types commonly applied to clauses headed by independent verb forms, or the use of strictly dependent verb forms (infinitive and converbs). But Akhvakh also has verb forms that question the notion of finiteness by being used both as heads of typical participial relative clauses, and as independent verb forms. On the other hand, there is no correlation between the morphological characteristics of Akhvakh verb forms (in particular with respect to argument indexation) and their ability to head independent clauses. In order to eliminate the inconsistencies following from the use of current terminology in the description of Akhvakh verb inflection, it is proposed to abandon the idea of a necessary correlation between the morphological characteristics and the syntactic abilities of verb forms, and to reformulate the definition of the type participle in a way making it logically independent from the type non-finite verb form. This means that the negative conditions implied by the notion of non finiteness as it is currently understood must be eliminated from the definition of participle, and participles must be defined exclusively with reference to their ability to occur in constructions constituting a particular type of relativization strategy, in which they behave at the same time as verbal heads and as adjectival dependents of a head noun.

1. Introduction

1.1. The aim of this paper

Participles are currently defined as a subtype of the more general type *non-finite verb form*. The aim of this paper is to show that this position is untenable for a language like Akhvakh, at least within the frame of current definitions of *participle* and *non-finite verb form*.

1.2. Finite vs. non-finite

The traditional presentation of the distinction between finite and non-finite verb forms relies on the idea that, (a) syntactically, heading independent clauses expressing statements is the most typical function of verb, and (b) the ability to fulfill this function

normally goes with some degree of morphological completeness: in their morphology, non-finite verb forms lack argument indexation and/or TAM distinctions obligatorily expressed by finite forms; syntactically, they head phrases whose internal structure can be recognized as clausal, but clauses headed by non-finite verb forms cannot function as independent sentences.

1.3. Participles

According to current definitions, participles are forms characterized by the following set of properties:

- (a) participles are verb forms in the sense that, with respect to the internal structure of the phrases they head, they have the same properties as verb forms heading independent clauses;
- (b) participles are non-finite verb forms, i.e., they cannot head independent clauses by themselves, and this inability is correlated with the lack of morphological distinctions characteristic of the independent verb forms of the same language;
- (c) taken as a whole, phrases headed by participles are syntactically equivalent to adjective phrases; they can fulfill the roles of noun dependent and non-verbal predicate, or undergo nominalization, in the same way as adjective phrases;
- (d) in all of the roles accessible to adjective phrases, the verb form heading a participle phrase shows the same behaviour (in particular, the same inflectional characteristics) as the head of an adjective phrase fulfilling the same role;
- (e) semantically, participle phrases modify the noun they depend on by identifying it to an unexpressed constituent of the participle phrase.

In this paper I will show that there are good reasons to consider the notion of participle relevant to the description of Akhvakh, but that at the same time, in order to be applicable to Akhvakh, the definition of the type *participle* must be limited to conditions (a), (c), (d), and (e).

2. The Akhvakh language: location and typological profile

2.1. The Akhvakh language: location and genetic affiliation

Akhvakh (*ašoži mic'i*, Russian *axvaxskij jazyk*, Azerbaijani *axax dili'*) is a North-East Caucasian (or Nakh-Daghestanian) language belonging to the Andic branch of the Avar-Andic-Tsezic family, spoken in the western part of Daghestan and in the village of Axax-dərə (*ašoži hani*) near Zaqatala (Azerbaijan). This paper is based on the author's field-work carried out in Axax-dərə.¹

¹ Judging from *Magomedbekova 1967* (which so far constitutes the main source of information on Akhvakh), the variety of Akhvakh spoken in Axax-dərə is very close to the Northern Akhvakh dialect spoken in the Akhvakhskij Rajon of Daghestan. Nearly all affixes identified by Magomedbekova occur in Axax-dərə Akhvakh with identical forms and functions, or with slight differences only, and most words she gives in her lexicon have exactly the same form too. In particular, cases of replacement of native Akhvakh words or of integrated Russian loanwords by Azerbaijani loanwords are relatively rare, which

2.2. Akhvakh clause structure

Akhvakh clause structure is characterized by flexible constituent order. Case marking and gender-number agreement between the verb and its core arguments consistently follow ergative alignment: with a very limited number of verbs with exceptional valency patterns, intransitive constructions include a noun phrase in the absolute case controlling gender-number agreement in the same way as P in the transitive construction.

Person agreement in Axax-dərə Akhvakh² is found in one tense only (the perfective positive), involves a *1st/2nd person* vs. *3rd person* contrast, and follows active alignment – see section 3.2.1. below.

Akhvakh distinguishes three genders in the singular: human masculine, human feminine, and non-human. Gender is always predictable from the meaning of nouns. In the plural, the distinction masculine vs. feminine is neutralized, resulting in a binary opposition human plural vs. non-human plural. In accordance with common practice in descriptions of Daghestanian languages, the term class will occasionally be used to designate the five agreement patterns of Akhvakh: singular human masculine (M), singular human feminine (F), singular non-human (N), human plural (HP), and non-human plural (NP).

Ex. (1) and (2) illustrate core syntactic term marking and gender-number agreement with intransitive and transitive verbs in the perfective negative, marked by the suffix $-i\lambda a$. The verbs appearing in these examples obligatorily bear one of the gender-number prefixes w- (M) / j- (F) / b- (N) / b(a)- (HP) / r- (NP), and they are in a tense (the perfective negative) in wich an optional gender-number marker -we (M) / -je (F) / -be (N) / -ji (HP) / -re (NP) may follow the suffix $-i\lambda a$, or merge with it according to the following rules:³

```
--i\lambda a - we \rightarrow i\lambda o \quad (M)
--i\lambda a - je \rightarrow i\lambda e \quad (F)
--i\lambda a - be \rightarrow i\lambda e \quad (N)
--i\lambda a - ji \rightarrow i\lambda i \quad (HP)
--i\lambda a - re \rightarrow i\lambda e \quad (NP)
```

```
(1) a. ek'wa / de-ne / me-ne w-oq'-iλο

man 1s-ABS 2s-ABS M-come-PF.NEG.M

'The man / I (masc.) / You (sing.masc.) did not come'
```

suggests that the installation of Akhvakh migrants in Azerbaijan must be relatively recent, in spite of the fact that the Akhvakhs of Axax-dərə have kept no regular relations with Daghestanian Akhvakhs.

² The details of person agreement constitute one of the few points on which my own observations on Axadərə Akhvakh differ substantially from Magomedbekova's description of the variety of Akhvakh spoken in the Akhvakhskij Rajon of Daghestan. See *Creissels 2006* for the discussion of a possible origin of this person agreement mechanism, unique among Andic languages.

³ Ex. (1) & (2) are given with gender-number suffixes merged with the perfective negative suffix, but variants of these forms with an agglutinated gender-number suffix, or devoid or gender-number suffix, would be equally acceptable.

- b. ak'i / de-ne / me-ne j-eq'-i\(\lambda\)e
 woman 1s-ABS 2s-ABS F-come-PF.NEG.F

 'The woman / I (fem.) / You (sing.fem.) did not come'
- c. $\chi we / mašina b-eq'-i\lambda e$ dog car N-come-PF.NEG.N
 'The dog / The car did not come'
- d. *mik'eli | iXi | issi | ušti b-eq'-iλi*child. PL 1PI 1PE 2P HP-come-PF.NEG.HP
 'The children / We (incl.) / We (excl.) / You (pl.) did not come'
- e. χwadi / mašinadi r-eq'-iλe
 dog.PL car.PL NP-come-PF.NEG.NP
 'The dogs / The cars did not come'
- (2) a. ek'wa-ssw-e jaše j-ič-ihe
 man-OM-ERG girl F-push-PF.NEG.F
 'The man did not push the girl'
 - b. ek'wa-ssw-e mašina b-ič-i\u00ede man-OM-ERG car N-push-PF.NEG.N 'The man did not push the car'
 - c. *jašo-de ek'wa w-uč-iλο*girl.o-ERG man M-push-PF.NEG.M
 'The girl did not push the man'
 - d. de-de me-ne j-ič-iλe
 1s-ERG 2s-ABS F-push-PF.NEG.F
 'I (masc. or fem.) did not push you (fem.)'

2.3. Nouns and noun phrases

Akhvakh noun phrases are strictly head-final. The last word of noun phrases (i.e., in canonical noun phrases, the head noun) is inflected for number and case.

Except for 1st and 2nd person singular pronouns, whose absolute form is characterized by a non-void ending -ne, the absolute case (used in the extra-syntactic function of pure designation and in S/P roles) has no overt mark. Case suffixes may attach to a stem identical with the absolute form, or to a special *oblique stem*. The formation of the oblique stem of nouns may involve changes in the last vowel (indicated in the gloss as '.O'), or the addition of a formative -ssu-(M) / - $\lambda\lambda i$ -(F/N) / -lo-(HP) / -le-(NP). With nominalized noun dependents, the use of these formatives is general.

In addition to the absolute case, Akhvakh case inflection consists of the following cases:

- ergative -de,
- dative $-\lambda a(je)$,
- genitive - $\mathcal{O}(M \text{ and HP})$ or - $\chi i(F, N, \text{ and NP})$,
- comitative -k'ena,
- causal -*yana*,
- fifteen spatial cases organized in five series with an essive -e/i, a lative -a(je) and an elative -u(ne) in each,
 - comparative -oqe,
 - modal $-\lambda e$.

2.4. Adjectives

Like verbs, adjectives divide into those obligatorily including a gender-number prefix, and those devoid of it. However, in all other respects, Akhvakh adjectives are much more similar to nouns than to verbs. In particular, they cannot bear TAM inflection and fulfill the predicate function like nouns, by combining with the copula or with the verb $\langle b \rangle ik'uru\lambda a'$ be'.⁴

Gender-number suffixes do not occur with adjectives in the role of noun dependent or in predicate function, but only with nominalized adjectives (i.e., adjectives occurring as the last word of a noun phrase).

Ex. (3) illustrates an adjective with a gender-number prefix (*ašada* 'old') and an adjective devoid of gender-number prefix (*čiāda* 'new') in the role of noun dependent (a-b), in predicate function (c-d), and nominalized (e-h).

- (3) a. de-de b-ašada mašina o-x-ada

 1s-ERG N-old car N-sell-PF1/2

 'I sold the old car'
 - b. de-de č'īda mašina b-eχ-ada
 1s-ERG new car N-buy-PF1/2
 'I bought a new car'
 - c. ha mašinadi r-ašada gedi

 DEM car.PL NP-old COP.NP

 'These cars are old'
 - d. ha mašinadi č'īda gedi

 DEM car.PL new COP.NP

 'These cars are new'

-

⁴ In Akhvakh, non-verbal predications involving neither the copula nor the verb $< b > ik'uru\lambda a$ are marginal.

- e. *de-de b-ašada-be o-x-ada* 1s-erg N-old-N N-sell-PF1/2 'I sold the old one'
- f. de-de č'īda-be b-ex-ada 1s-ERG new-N N-buy-PF1/2 'I bought a new one'
- g. *b-ašada-λλi-ga* eq-a
 N-old-OF/N-LAT look at-INJ
 'Look at the old one'
- h. č'īda-λλi-ga eq-a new-OF/N-LAT look at-INJ 'Look at the new one'

3. A survey of Akhvakh independent verb forms

3.1. General remarks on verb inflection and verb stem allomorphy

In Akhvakh, most verbs build all of their forms by adding TAM suffixes to a unique stem invariably ending in a consonant. There are however about thirty non-derived verbs characterized by an alternation between a 'long' stem ending in a consonant and a 'short' stem characterized by the loss of the final consonant. For example, the underlying form of the root of $foru\lambda a$ 'cry' can be represented as |fa(b)| to account for the fact that it appears as fab- in combination with some suffixes (for example, the injunctive form of this verb is fab-a), whereas in other forms b is not apparent (for example in the infinitive $foru\lambda a < fa-uru\lambda a$). The same kind of alternation concerns also the derived transitive verbs formed by means of a causative suffix whose underlying form is |-a(j)-|.

Since all of the verb suffixes of Akhvakh begin with a vowel, the selection of the short form of such verb stems implies interaction between the last vowel of the stem and the initial vowel of the suffixes attached to it:

$$a + a \rightarrow a$$
 $i + a \rightarrow e$
 $a + i \rightarrow e$ $i + i \rightarrow i$
 $a + u \rightarrow o$ $i + u \rightarrow v$

The division of verb suffixes into those selecting the long form of alternating verb stems, and those selecting the short form, is not correlated with any phonological or semantic property, and must be considered as synchronically arbitrary.

Another important morphophonological process in Akhvakh verb inflection is nasalization: verb roots divide into those that have no nasalization effect on affixes, and

those that select nasalized variants of certain affixes. Nasalization manifests itself by alternations between oral and nasal vowels, b and m, or r and n.

3.2. Synthetic verb forms

3.2.1. Perfective positive

This tense is the only one in which verb morphology shows a mechanism of person agreement expressed by the choice between two suffixes: -ada (glossed PF1/2), used in the presence of a 1st or 2nd person controller, and -ari (glossed PF), used in the presence of a 3rd person controller, or in the absence of any controller.

In the perfective positive, transitive verbs invariably agree with A: -ada encodes the presence of a 1st/2nd person A, whereas -ari encodes the presence of a 3rd person A – ex. (4).

(4)	a.	<i>de-de</i> 1s-erg		<i>b-eχ-ada</i> / <i>e</i> N-take-PF.1/2(N)		'I took the meat'	
		i∦i-de issi-de	riX'i riX'i	b-eχ-add b-eχ-add b-eχ-add b-eχ-add	a/e a/e	'You (sg.) took the meat' 'We (incl.) took the meat' 'We (excl.) took the meat' 'You (pl.) took the meat'	
b		ek'wa-ssu-de man-OM-ERG		riλ'i b-eχ-ari meat N-take-PF		'The man took the meat'	
		ak'o-de χwe-de ãdo-lo-de χwadi-le-de		riλ'i b-eχ-ari riλ'i b-eχ-ari riλ'i b-eχ-ari riλ'i b-eχ-ari		'The woman took the meat' 'The dog took the meat' 'The people took the meat' 'The dogs took the meat'	
	c.	ek'wa-ss		de-ne 1s-abs	<i>w-uq'-ari</i> M-chase away-PF	'The man chased me (masc.) away'	
		ek'wa-ss ek'wa-ss ek'wa-ss	su-de	те-пе	j-iq'-ari w-uq'-ari j-iq'-ari	'The man chased me (fem.) away' 'The man chased you (sg.masc.) away' 'The man chased you (sg.fem.) away'	
		ek'wa-sa man-OM-		<i>i</i> X <i>i</i> 1s-ABS	<i>ba-q'-iri</i> HP-chase away-PF.H	'The man chased us (incl.) away'	
		ek'wa-ss			ba-q'-iri ba-q'-iri	'The man chased us (excl.) away' 'The man chased you (pl.) away'	

Intransitive verbs divide into two semantically motivated classes, those that agree with S in the same way as transitive verbs with A – ex. (5), and those that do not agree with S and invariably show the ending -ari – ex. (6).⁵

(5)	a.	de-ne	w-oq'-ada/o	'I (masc.) came'
		1s-abs	M-come-PF.1/2(M)	
		de-ne	j-eq'-ada∣e	'I (fem.) came'
		те-пе	w-oq'-ada/o	'You (masc.) came'
		те-пе	j-eq'-ada/e	'You (fem.) came'
		i≵i	b-eq'-idi	'We (incl.) came'
		issi	b-eq'-idi	'We (excl.) came'
		ušti	b-eq'-idi	'You (pl.) came'
	b.	ek'wa	w-oq'-ari	'The man came'
		man	M-come-PF	
		ak'i	j-eq'-ari	'The woman came'
		imiχi	b-eq'-ari	'The donkey came'
		ãdo	b-eq'-iri	'The people came'
		imaxa	r-eq'-ari	'The donkeys came'
(6)	a.	de-ne	w-ux-ari	'I (masc.) fell down'
		1s-abs	M-fall down-PF	
		de-ne	j-ix-ari	'I (fem.) fell down'
		те-пе	w-ux-ari	'You (masc.) fell down'
		те-пе	j-ix-ari	'You (fem.) fell down'
		i≵i	ba-x-iri	'We (incl.) fell down'
		issi	ba-x-iri	'We (excl.) fell down'
		ušti	ba-x-iri	'You (pl.) fell down'
	b.	ek'wa	w-ux-ari	'The man fell down'
		man	M-fall down-PF	
		ak'i	j-ix-ari	'The woman fell down'
		imixi	b-ux-ari	'The donkey fell down'
		ãdo	ba-x-iri	'The people fell down'
		imaxa	r-ux-ari	'The donkeys fell down'
				-

The two suffixes of the perfective positive vary in the following way:

⁵ I have also observed one case (and only one) of an intransitive verb showing person agreement with an experiencer represented by a dative noun phrase: *beq'uruλa* 'know', used in the perfective tense with the meaning 'become aware of'.

- the initial a of these two endings merges with an underlying i belonging to the stem according to the rule $i + a \rightarrow e$ (for example, the perfective positive of $guru\lambda a$ 'do', whose root has the underlying form |gwi(j)-|, is $gw-eri \sim gw-eda$);⁶
- with stems that select nasalized variants of affixes, the perfective positive endings occur as -ani and $-\tilde{a}da$;
- if the S/P argument is human plural, the obligatory merging of a gender-number agreement mark results in variants of these endings -*iri* and -*idi*; ⁷ in the other classes, an optional class marker can be added to the suffix -*ada* or merge with it, as indicated in ex. (4-5) above, whereas no class marker can be added to the suffix -*ari*.

In addition to that, with verbs that have stem allomorphy, agreement with a human plural S/P argument triggers not only the choice of the endings $-iri \sim -idi$, but also the choice of the 'long' allomorph of the stem.

3.2.2. Perfective negative

This tense is characterized by a suffix $-i\lambda a$ (glossed PF.NEG) to which a class marker can optionally be added. The class marker may be realized as a distinct suffix, but it may also merge with the perfective negative marker, giving rise to the complex suffixes $-i\lambda o$ (M), $-i\lambda e$ (F, N, or NP), and $-i\lambda i$ (HP).

The following variations are phonologically conditioned:

- the initial i of this suffix merges with an underlying a belonging to the stem according to the rule $a + i \rightarrow e$ (for example, the perfective negative of \check{c} or $u\lambda a$ 'burn', whose root has the underlying form $|\check{c}a(b)|$, is $\check{c}e\lambda a$;8
- with stems that select nasalized variants of affixes, the perfective negative ending occurs as $-i\lambda a$ or $-\epsilon\lambda a$ (for example, the perfective negative of q onu λa 'eat', whose root has the underlying form $|q'\tilde{a}(m)-|$, is $q'\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda a$).
- (7) a. ek'wa w-oq'-iλο man M-come-PF.NEG.M 'The man did not come'
 - b. ek'wa-ssw-e mašina b-eχ-iλe
 man-OM-ERG car N-buy-PF.NEG.N
 'The man did not buy the car'

3.2.3. Imperfective positive

This tense is characterized by a suffix (glossed IPF) whose basic allomorph is *-ida*, with the following variations:

⁶ The underlying *i* responsible for this variation is apparent in the injunctive form *gwij-a*.

⁷ When realized as a distinct segment, the HP suffix appears as -ji.

⁸ The underlying *a* responsible for this variation is apparent in the injunctive form *č'ab-a*.

⁹ The underlying a responsible for this variation is apparent in the injunctive form q'am-a.

- the initial i of this suffix merges with an underlying a belonging to the stem according to the rule $a + i \rightarrow e$ (for example, the imperfective positive of \check{c} or $u\lambda a$ burn', whose root has the underlying form $|\check{c}a(b)|$, is $\check{c}eda$);
- with stems that select nasalized variants of affixes, the imperfective positive ending occurs as $-\tilde{\imath}da$ or $-\tilde{e}da$ (for example, the imperfective positive of q onu λa 'eat', whose root has the underlying form $|q\tilde{\imath}a(m)-|$, is $q\tilde{\imath}eda$);
- if the S/P argument is human plural, the obligatory merging of a gender-number agreement mark results in the variant *-idi*; in the other classes, an optional class marker may be added to the suffix *-ida* or merge with it.

This tense refers to habitual events, or permanent facts, or events obligatorily occurring under certain conditions; it is in particular used in proverbs and riddles, as illustrated by ex. (8).¹⁰

- (8) a. rač'ixxe č'-eda č'-eda č'or-ida iron burn-IPF(PTC) burn-IPF(PTC) strike-IPF 'One strikes the iron when it is hot'
 - b. bek-oqe xwaj-ida, xwan-oqe ũk-ida (qalica) snake-like crawl-IPF horse-like eat-IPF scythe 'It crawls like a snake, it eats like a horse (the scythe)'

3.2.4. Imperfective negative

This tense is characterized by a suffix -ika (glossed IPF.NEG) to which a class marker can optionally be added. This optional class marker may be realized as a distinct suffix, but it may also merge with the imperfective negative marker, giving rise to the complex suffixes -iko (M), -ike (F, N, or NP), and -iki (HP).

The following variations are phonologically conditioned:

- the initial i of this suffix merges with an underlying a belonging to the stem according to the rule $a + i \rightarrow e$ (for example, the imperfective negative of \check{c} 'oru λa 'burn', whose root has the underlying form $|\check{c}'a(b)|$, is $\check{c}'eka$);
- with stems that select nasalized variants of affixes, the imperfective positive ending occurs as -ika or -ika (for example, the perfective negative of $q'onu\lambda a'$ eat', whose root has the underlying form |q'i(m)-|, is q'i(m).
- (9) a. ha ek'wa w-ošq-iko

 DEM man M-work-IPF.NEG.M

 'This man (usually) does not work'
 - b. keta-le-de žomi q'-ēke
 cat.PL-ONP-ERG grass eat-IPF.NEG.N
 'Cats do not eat grass'

-

¹⁰ Note that in ex. (8a), this verb form occurs not only as the main predicate, but also as a participle in secondary predicate function.

3.2.5. Narrative positive

This tense, mainly used in story telling, is marked by a suffix -iri (glossed NAR) to which no class mark can be added. Nasalization and merging with an underlying a belonging to the stem give rise to the variants -ini, -eri, and -eni.

- (10) a. ek'wa w-οχχυλ'-iri man M-rejoice-NAR 'The man rejoiced'
 - b. ek'wa-ssw-e riλ'i b-eχ-iri man-OM-ERG meat N-buy-NAR 'The man bought meat'

In Magobedbekova's description of the variety of Akhvakh spoken in the Akhvakhskij Rajon of Daghestan, this tense is identified as 'present' (nastojaščee). In the variety of Akhvakh spoken in Axax-dərə, apart from its use in story telling, it occurs only in deontic questions (as in či dede gwiri? 'What should I do?'), and has no use that would justify the label present.

3.2.6. Narrative negative

This tense is marked by a suffix -iki (glossed NAR.NEG) to which class marks cannot be added. Nasalization and merging with an underlying a belonging to the stem give rise to the variants -iki, -eki, and -éki.

- (11) a. ek'wa w-oq'-iki
 man M-come-NAR.NEG
 'The man did not come'
 - b. ek'wa-ssw-e rik'i q'-éki
 man-OM-ERG meat eat-NAR.NEG
 'The man did not eat the meat'

3.2.7. Potential

This tense is marked by a suffix -uwa (M, N, NP) \sim -iwa (F) \sim -oji (HP), glossed POT. The HP variant triggers the use of the long allomorph of the verb stems that have stem allomorphy. The initial vowels of the variants -uwa and -iwa interact with underlying a's according to the usual rules (for example, the potential of \check{c} 'oru λa 'burn', whose root has the underlying form $|\check{c}$ 'a(b)-|, is \check{c} '-owa $\sim \check{c}$ '-ewa $\sim \check{c}$ 'ab-oji'). The initial vowel of all variants undergoes nasalization when combining with nasalizing stems.

(12) a. du-λa kũλ-e m-ĩc-ala, de-ne w-oq'-uwa
2s- DAT want-PCV N-occur-COND 1s-ABS M-come-POT.N
'If you want, I can come'

b. du- λa $k\tilde{u}\lambda$ -e m- \tilde{i} č-ala, de-de hudu-ssw-a $e\lambda$ '-uwa 2s-DAT want-PCV N-occur-COND 1s-ERG DEM-OM-DAT tell-POT.N 'If you want, I can tell him'

In Magobedbekova's description of the variety of Akhvakh spoken in the Akhvakhskij Rajon of Daghestan, this tense is identified as 'future' (*buduščee*), but this label does not account for its value in Axax-dərə Akhvakh. In Axax-dərə Akhvakh, the only verb form qualifying as a future is an analytic form – see section 3.3.

The potential has no negative counterpart.

3.2.8. Injunctive

As illustrated by ex. (13), the injunctive is characterized by a suffix -a (glossed INJ) triggering the use of the long allomorph of stems having stem allomorphy. No class marker can be added to this suffix.

(13) a. *(me-ne)* čab-a
2S-ABS wash-INJ
'Wash!'

b. (me-de) ri χ 'i q'am-a 2S-ERG meat eat-INJ 'Eat the meat!'

3.2.9. Prohibitive

The prohibitive is characterized by a suffix -uba (glossed PROHIB) whose initial vowel shows the usual interactions with the verb stem. Contrary to its positive counterpart, the prohibitive does not trigger the use of the long allomorph of stems having stem allomorphy.

(14) a. *(me-ne)* č-oba

2s -ABS wash-INJ

'Don't wash!'

b. (me-de) ri'k'i q'-ōba 2s-ERG meat eat-INJ 'Don't eat the meat!'

3.2.10. Optative

The optative is formed by adding the suffix $-\lambda'a$ (glossed OPT) to the injunctive (optative positive) or the prohibitive (optative negative).

```
(15) a. di mina-λλi-ga ĩč'a b-ux-a-λ'a
1s.o head-of/N-LAT stone N-fall-INJ-OPT
'Let a stone fall on my head!'
```

```
b. alla-ssw-e harig-oba-X'a
god-OM-ERG see-CAUS.PROHIB-OPT
'Heaven forbid!' (lit. Let God not show [that]!)
```

The optative positive has a variant $-\tilde{u}\check{c}a(-\tilde{\lambda}'a)$.

3.2.11. Past evidential

The past evidential is marked by a suffix $-uwi(M) \sim -iwi(F) \sim -awi(N, NP) \sim -igoli$ (HP), glossed EVID. The HP variant of this suffix triggers the use of the long allomorph of the verb stems that have stem allomorphy. The initial vowels of the other variants interact with underlying a's or i's according to the usual rules. The initial vowel of all variants undergoes nasalization when combining with nasalizing stems.

The negative marker $-i\lambda$ - can be inserted between the verb stem and the past evidential marker.

```
(16)
        če-we
                 w-uk'-uwi,
                              če-we
                                       w-uk'-iλ-uwi,
                M-be-EVID.M
                                       M-be-NEG-EVID.M
        one-M
                              one-M
        če
              molla
                      rasadi w-uk'-uwi
             Molla
                      Rasadi
                              M-be-EVID.M
        'Once upon a time there was a man called Molla Rasadi'
```

3.2.12. Others

Verb forms different from those used in assertive utterances sporadically occur in interrogative contexts. They are relatively frequent in questions involving *čugu* 'why', but informants seem unable to reproduce them systematically in elicitation. My impression is that these forms are becoming obsolete, and that speakers maintain them in ready-made sentences, but are not able to use them in a productive way anymore.

3.3. Analytic verb forms

Analytic verb forms involve the copula or the verb $\langle b \rangle$ ik'uru λa 'be' in auxiliary function. In the future form illustrated by ex. (17a), the auxiliated verb is in the imperfective form presented in section 3.2.3 above; in other analytic verb forms, the

auxiliated verb is in a dependent form, imperfective converb – ex. (17b) – or perfective converb – ex. (17c).

- (17) a. de-de mik'eli č-eda golidi 1s-ERG child.PL wash-IPF COP.HP 'I am going to wash the children'
 - b. de-de kitabi qwar-ere gwede 1s-ERG book read-ICV COP.N 'I am reading a book'
 - c. keto-de riXi q'am-e godi cat-ERG meat eat-PCV COP.N 'The cat has eaten the meat'

4. Dependent verb forms

The infinitive (marked by a suffix $-uru\lambda a$ with a short variant -u and an extended variant $-uru\lambda aje$) is a dependent verb form in the sense that the phrases it heads have the same structure as independent clauses, but occur only as clausal constituents in complex structures.

The same can be said of converbs. Like other Daghestanian languages, Akhvakh has a large array of converbs. In addition to two converbs with very general meanings, the imperfective converb (glossed ICV) and the perfective converb (glossed PCV), Akhvakh has a number of converbs with more specialized meanings (several temporal converbs expressing various types of temporal relations between events, conditional converb, concessive converb, comparative converb, consecutive converb, and causal converb).

By contrast, the recognition of a masdar is problematic in Akhvakh. Akhvakh clearly has verbal nouns cognate with forms having the status of masdar in other Andic languages, but with the exception of the elative case (which is therefore better analyzed as having grammaticalized as a causal converb), they are used as ordinary nouns, and retain verbal properties very marginally.

5. Participles

If participles are defined as verb forms used in a particular type of relativization strategy,¹¹ there is no difficulty recognizing four participles in Akhvakh (perfective positive, perfective negative, imperfective positive, and imperfective negative). This means that Akhvakh has four verb forms occurring in prenominal relative clauses with

¹¹ On participial constructions as a relative clause formation strategy, see in particular *Comrie & Polinsky* 1999.

the same characteristics as attributive adjectives.¹² Participle phrases can be used in predicate function or nominalized in the same way as adjective phrases, and participles take agreement suffixes and case inflection exactly like adjectives.

Like nominalized adjectives, participles occur in free relatives with class suffixes in the absolute case, and with an oblique stem formative followed by a case suffix in other cases, as illustrated by ex. (18).

- (18) a. *di-λa kw-ĩda heresi m-ač-ika mik'eli*1s-DAT like-IPF lie N-tell-IPF.NEG(PTC) child.PL

 'I like children who do not tell lies'
 - b. di-λa kw-ĩda heresi m-ač-iki-ji
 1s-dat like-IPF lie N-tell-IPF.NEG(PTC)-HP
 'I like those who do not tell lies'
 - c. *de-ne buž-ida heresi m-ač-ika ãdo-lo-ga*1s-ABS believe-IPF lie N-tell-IPF.NEG(PTC) person.PL-OHP-LAT

 'I believe people who do not tell lies'
 - d. *de-ne buž-ida heresi m-ač-iko-lo-ga*1s-abs believe-IPF lie N-tell-IPF.NEG(PTC)-OHP-LAT

 'I believe those who do not tell lies'

The problem is that the verb forms used in relative clauses with a clearly adjectival behaviour in their relation with the noun modified by the relative clause also occur in independent clauses with a behaviour that does not distinguish them from the other independent verb forms.

As indicated by the gloss IPF.NEG(PTC) 'imperfective negative used as a participle', the verb form illustrated in ex. (18) with a typically participial behaviour is identical with the imperfective negative presented in section 3.2.4, and the other three forms occurring in relative clauses in which they show exactly the same behaviour coincide in the same way with one of the independent verb forms listed in section 3.2: the perfective positive – ex. (19), the perfective negative – ex. (20), or the imperfective positive – ex. (21).

- (19) a. de-de lãga r-eχ-ada1s-ERG sheep.PL NP-buy-PF1/2'I bought sheep'
 - b. di-λa harigw-iλa lãga r-eχ-ada ek'wa
 1S-DAT see-PF.NEG sheep.PL NP-buy-PF.PTC man
 'I did not see the man who bought sheep'

¹² Akhvakh also has a correlative relative clause construction, but it is much less frequently used than the participial construction.

- c. eq-a lãga r-eχ-ada ek'wa-ssu-ga look at-INF sheep.PL NP-buy-PF.PTC man-OM-LAT 'Look at the man who bought sheep'
- d. di-λa harigw-iλa lãga r-eχ-ada-we
 1S-DAT see-PF.NEG sheep.PL NP-buy-PF.PTC-M
 'I did not see the one who bought sheep'
- e. eq-a lãga r-eχ-ada-ssu-ga look at-INF sheep.PL NP-buy-PF.PTC-OM-LAT 'Look at the one who bought sheep'
- (20) a. ha ek'wa w-ošq-iλa

 DEM man M-work-PF.NEG

 'This man did not work'
 - b. w-ošq-i\(\text{i}\)a ek'wa du waci gudi M-work-PF.NEG(PTC) man 2s.0 brother COP.M 'The man who did not work is your brother'
 - c. ači o-x-uba w-ošq-i\(\lambda\)a ek'wa-ssu-ga money N-give-PROHIB M-work-PF.NEG(PTC) man-OM-LAT 'Don't give money to the man who did not work'
 - d. *w-ošq-iλa-we du waci gudi*M-work-PF.NEG(PTC)-M 2s.O brother COP.M

 'The one who did not work is your brother'
 - e. ači o-x-uba w-ošq-i\(\lambda\)-ssu-ga money N-give-PROHIB M-work-PF.NEG(PTC)-OM-LAT 'Don't give money to the one who did not work'
- (21) a. di-λa kw-ĩda ha č'ili b-eχ-uruλa

 1s-DAT want-IPF DEM house N-buy-INF

 'I want to buy this house'
 - b. du-λa b-eχ-uruλa kw-ĩda č'ili reššeda godi
 2S-DAT N-buy-INF want-IPF(PTC) house nice COP.N
 'The house you want to buy is nice'
 - c. eq-a di-λa b-eχ-uruλa kw-ĩda č'ili-λλi-ga
 look at-INF 1S-DAT N-buy-INF want-IPF(PTC) house-OF/N-LAT
 'Look at the house I want to buy'

- d. du-λa b-eχ-uruλa kw-ĩda-be reššeda godi 2S-DAT N-buy-INF want-IPF(PTC)-N nice COP.N 'The one you want to buy is nice'
- e. eq-a di-λa b-eχ-uruλa kw-ĩda-λλi-ga look at-INF 1s-DAT N-buy-INF want-IPF(PTC)-OF/N-LAT 'Look at the one I want to buy'

Note however that, in the perfective positive, the form used as a participle is invariably the form with the suffix -ada, irrespective of person distinctions, whereas in independent clauses, this form implies the presence of a 1st or 2nd person argument controlling person agreement. This is the reason why the suffix -ada is glossed PF1/2 in independent clauses (in which it contrasts with -ari indicating the presence of a 3rd person controller or the absence of any potential controller), and PF.PTC in participial relative clauses (in which -ari cannot occur).¹³

But with this only reservation, the verb forms encountered in participial relative clauses are always identical with the forms that would occur in the corresponding independent clauses.

6. Discussion and conclusion

Generally speaking, the notion of finiteness in its current meaning of a correlation between the degree of morphological completeness and the syntactic abilities of verb forms is hardly applicable to Akhvakh, since there is no clear-cut distinction between the morphological characteristics of the independent verb forms presented in section 3 and those of the dependent verb forms presented in section 4 (infinitive and converbs):

- independent verb forms show no homogeneity in their indexation properties (person agreement is found in one tense only, and some of the TAM suffixes characteristic of independent verb forms forbid the addition of gender-number suffixes), and suffixes expressing gender-number agreement are found in several converbs too;¹⁴
- concerning TAM inflection, given the variety of converbs in Akhvakh, in this respect too it is difficult to consider them to have reduced inflectional possibilities in comparison with independent verb forms.

Consequently, we must conclude that finiteness in its current meaning of a correlation between the degree of morphological completeness and the syntactic

¹³ Creissels 2006 puts forward a historical explanation of this situation.

¹⁴ In this respect, the situation of Akhvakh is very different from that of languages like Turkish, in which the obligatoriness of person agreement in independent verb forms sharply contrasts with the absence of person agreement in converbs.

abilities of verb forms is not a universal notion.¹⁵ In particular, it is not relevant to the description of Akhvakh.

But on the other hand, it follows from the data presented in section 5 that the notion of participle *in the sense of verb form involved in a particular type of relativization strategy* is undoubtedly relevant to Akhvakh. This results in a contradiction within the frame of the current conception according to which *participle* is a subtype of the more general type *non-finite verb form*, since the forms in question are not morphologically deficient, and occur not only within the frame of the participial relativization strategy, but also as heads of independent clauses.

The only way to resolve this contradiction is either to revise the notion of finiteness, or to reformulate the definition of *participle* in such a way that the status of participle can be recognized, in some of their uses, to forms otherwise able to act as heads of independent clauses. Whatever the decision concerning the definition of *finiteness*, given the Akhvakh data analyzed in this paper, it seems to me a sound decision to eliminate from the definition of *participle* the condition that forms having a typically participial behaviour in at least some of their uses should be morphologically deficient and unable to head independent clauses.

Such a decision would be consistent with a more general principle according to which negative conditions should be avoided in the definition of categories, since they often forbid a satisfying account of situations in which the same words occur in some contexts with properties identifying them as members of a given category, but are also found in other contexts with properties typical of another category. In the particular case of participles, I have tried to show on the basis of Akhvakh data that the elimination of negative conditions from the definition of *participle* is made necessary by the existence of verb forms occurring both in complex constructions with a typically participial behaviour, and in independent clauses in which nothing distinguishes them from independent verb forms devoid of participial uses.

ABBREVIATIONS

1/2:1st/2nd pers. agreement marker

1s: 1st pers. sing. pronoun 2s: 2nd pers. sing. pronoun

1PE: 1st pers. pl. (excl.) pronoun 1PI: 1st pers. pl. (incl.) pronoun

2P: 2nd pers. pl. pronoun

ABS : absolute CAUS : causative

COND: conditional converb

COP: copula

-

¹⁵ I do not exclude the possibility to reformulate the definition of finiteness in a way that could make it applicable to all languages, including Akhvakh, but discussing this question would take us outside the scope of this paper.

DAT: dative

DEM: demonstrative

EL: elative ERG: ergative ESS: essive

EVID: past evidential

F: singular human feminine

GEN: genitive HP: human plural

ICV: imperfective converb

INESS: inessive
INF: infinitive
INJ: injunctive
IPF: imperfective

LAT: lative

M : singular human masculine N : singular non-human (neuter)

NAR: narrative NEG: negation

NP: non-human (neuter) plural

o: oblique stem

OF/N: oblique stem, singular feminine or neuter

OHP: oblique stem, human plural OM: oblique stem, singular masculine ONP: oblique stem, non-human plural

OPT: optative

PCV: perfective converb

PF: perfective
PL: plural
POT: potential
PROHIB: prohibitive
PTC: participle

(PTC): used as a participle

REFERENCES

Comrie, B. & M. Polinsky. 1999. Form and function in syntax: Relative clauses in Tsez. In Darnell M., E. Moravcsik, F. Newmeyer, M. Noonan, & K. Wheatley (eds.), *Functionalism and formalism in linguistics*. Amsterdam / Philadelphia: John Benjamins. 77-91.

Creissels, D. 2006. The emergence of person agreement in Akhvakh: an uncommon grammaticalization path. Paper presented at the conference Rara and Rarissima. Leipzig. (to appear in the proceedings of the conference)

Koptjevskaja-Tamm, M. 1999. Finiteness. In Brown K. & J. Miller (eds.), *Concise encyclopedia of grammatical categories*.146-149. Oxford: Elsevier.

Magomedbekova, Z.M. 1967. *Axvaxskij jazyk (grammatičeskij analis, teksty, slovar')*. Tbilissi: Mecniereba.